UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE

DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

LAURIE ORTOLANO

VS

NO: 22-CV-00326-LM

THE CITY OF NASHUA, Et Al.

DEPOSITION OF LAURIE ORTOLANO

This deposition taken by agreement of counsel at the Law Offices of Cullen, Collimore & Shirley, 37 Technology Way, Suite 3W2, Nashua, New Hampshire, on May 8, 2024, commencing at 10:20 a.m.

```
Page 2
1
   APPEARANCES:
 2
    FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
    OLSON & OLSON
 3
    31 Franklin Road
    Salisbury, NH 03268
    KURT S. OLSON, ESQ.
4
    KOLSON54@GMAIL.COM
5
    FOR THE DEFENDANT, CITY OF NASHUA:
6
    CULLEN, COLLIMORE & SHIRLEY
    37 Technology Way
7
    Suite 3W2
    Nashua, NH 03060
8
    BRIAN J.S. CULLEN, ESQ.
    BCULLEN@CULLENCOLLIMORE.COM
    CHRISTINE BECOTTE, RISK MANAGEMENT
9
10
    FOR THE DEFENDANT, JAMES DONCHESS, MAYOR; JOHN
    GRIFFIN, CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER:
    RATH, YOUNG AND PIGNATELLI
11
    20 Trafalgar Square
12
    The Glass Tower
    Nashua, New Hampshire 03063
    MICHAEL A. PIGNATELLI, ESO. (VIA ZOOM)
13
    MAP@RATHLAW.COM
14
    FOR THE DEFENDANT, KIMBERLY KLEINER, ADMINISTRATIVE
15
    SERVICES DIRECTOR:
    FRIEDMAN FEENEY
16
    95 North State Street
    Suite 5
17
    Concord, NH 03301
    DONA FEENEY, ESQ.
18
    DFEENEY@FRIEDMANFEENEY.COM
19
    FOR THE DEFENDANT, STEVEN BOLTON, CELIA K. LEONARD,
    DEPUTY CORPORATE COUNSEL:
20
    UPTON & HATFIELD
    159 Middle Street
21
    Portsmouth, NH 03801
    RUSSELL F. HILLIARD, ESO.
22
    RHILLIARD@UPTONHATFIELD.COM
23
```

Page 3 ALSO PRESENT (Continued) 1 2 FOR THE DEFENDANT, INCEPTION TECHNOLOGIES and RAYMOND FEOLI: 3 CORRIGAN LAW OFFICES 122 Chestnut Street 4 Andover, MA 01810 BRIAN CORRIGAN, ESQ. 5 CORRIGANLAW@GMAIL.COM 6 ALSO PRESENT: Christine Becotte 7 COURT REPORTER: Rebecca Lynn Metea, LCR 8 STIPULATIONS It is agreed that the deposition shall be 9 10 taken in the first instance in stenotype and when transcribed may be used for all purposes for which 11 12 depositions are competent under New Hampshire 13 practice. 14 Notice, filing, caption and all other formalities are waived. All objections except as 15 16 to form are reserved and may be taken in court at 17 the time of trial. 18 It is further agreed that if the deposition is 19 not signed within thirty (30) days after submission 20 to counsel, the signature of the deponent is 21 waived. 22 23

Page 17 Your youngest son was in high school at the 1 0 2 time you moved here? 3 Α Yes. 4 Q That is as specific as I need. 5 Α Okay. 6 When you came here, did you join any or seek Q 7 to join any boards? No. 8 Α 9 Have you since in Nashua? 0 10 Α Yes. 11 Have you been on any boards here? 0 12 Α No, I didn't get appointed. 13 Which boards did you seek to get appointments Q 14 to? 15 The board of assessor's had openings, when it Α 16 had an opening, two openings, when I started doing assessing work in 2018, so I applied, 17 18 but I didn't receive a comment or response 19 back. I applied again in 2019, and I think I received a response that I wasn't a candidate 20 21 they were looking for. 22 And then I applied to the assessing 23 standards board in -- I think that was 2019

Page 18 1 -- maybe 2020, and the board itself has two or 2 three public people, and a gentleman who was on it recommended that I take his seat. So he 3 4 wrote a referral to the governor, and the 5 governor made the recommendation to the executive council, and I wasn't -- they didn't 6 7 do a vote because the governor knew before the vote that I wasn't supported on a three-two 8 vote, so I didn't get that seat. And I ran 9 10 for public works in November of 2021, and I lost that seat. That was an actual election. 11 12 Q The assessing standards board, that was a state board? 13 14 Α Yes. You said you started doing assessing work in 15 Q 16 2018. 17 When you say you started doing 18 assessing work, what do you mean? 19 The new assessments had come out from KRT, the Α 20 company hired to do an update in late August, 21 and when I received our new number, I was 22 concerned, and I went to the assessing office 23 and had a conversation, and that started my

Page 19 1 work into trying to get our property 2 assessment corrected. So that is your first foray into what you call 3 Q 4 assessing work? 5 Α I actually did call in 2014 when we moved in, 6 and I saw our assessment jump 5 grand. Our 7 tax bill went up \$5,000. I made my first contact with the tax assessor then. 8 And then I made contact again with 9 10 the assessing chief in 2017, very concerned when my tax bill cost 18,000, and I thought it 11 12 was way too high. I had minimal contact at those two 13 14 points. 15 I understand you also assist other people with Q 16 their assessing challenges, should we say? Not really. I would say the year after KRT 17 Α 18 people did seek me out to help them with 19 their -- it wasn't KRT. It was when Vision 20 came out with the new numbers. They asked me 21 to help them out in 2021. I got calls from 22 neighborhoods, and letters saying, we seek 23 your assistance, come to our street, and so I

Page 20 1 did give assistance, and I published some 2 things on GoodGov, where I told people to look 3 up data. If you are concerned, go look this 4 up. 5 I made a series of videos on GoodGov 6 on how to file an abatement, how to do it 7 yourself, and frankly, the City, I don't think, was very happy about it, and I was 8 9 pretty squashed in the whole thing, so I never 10 did it again. I had people seek me out last year, and I just didn't do it anymore. 11 12 Q When you say you think the City wasn't very 13 happy about it, what specifically made you 14 feel the City wasn't very happy about it? 15 When I tried to assist two senior citizens, Α 16 and sent the abatements in to be date/time stamped, they sat on them for almost 20 days 17 which is unheard of. That neighborhood had a 18 19 street of 8 properties to be reevaluated and 20 the City policy, policy practice or guideline 21 was if there is a cluster of houses that are 22 incorrect, they correct those first because 23 they can deal with a pile of them.

Page 21 1 There was a cluster of houses in 2 that neighborhood at that time in 2021, they 3 ended up dealing with them last. They went to 4 the bottom of the pile. 5 People who went before the board who carried their own assessments in, asked me for 6 7 help. There were only two I represented. Everyone else went on their own, said to me 8 9 when they went before the board of assessor's, 10 they were questioned, oh, did somebody help you, don't even say her name, we know who she 11 12 They seemed to be just not happy with the is. work of what was submitted. 13 Sorry. To pin this down a little more, when 14 Q 15 you say they, who didn't seem happy? 16 Specifically, who are you talking about? Kim Kleiner, I will say Ms. Kleiner. 17 Α 18 running the assessing office at that time, and 19 I don't believe there was a chief at that 20 time. 21 Q Was it your impression that Ms. Kleiner had 22 direct contact with these applicants? 23 Α I think a lot of them screened through the